

## RECURRENCE RELATIONS FOR TWO-CHANNEL QUEUEING SYSTEMS WITH ERLANGIAN SERVICE TIMES AND HYSTERETIC STRATEGY OF RANDOM DROPPING OF CUSTOMERS

*Yuriy Zhernovyi<sup>1</sup>, Bohdan Kopytko<sup>2</sup>*

<sup>1</sup> *Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Lviv, Ukraine*

<sup>2</sup> *Institute of Mathematics, Czestochowa University of Technology  
Czestochowa, Poland*

*yu.zhernovyi@lnu.edu.ua, bohdan.kopytko@im.pcz.pl*

Received: 2 May 2018; Accepted: 18 June 2018

**Abstract.** This article proposes a method of study the  $M/E_s/2/m$  and  $M/E_s/2/\infty$  queueing systems with a hysteretic strategy of random dropping of customers. Recurrence relations are obtained to compute the stationary distribution of the number of customers and steady-state characteristics. The constructed algorithms were tested on examples with the use of simulation models constructed with the help of GPSS World.

**MSC 2010:** 60G10, 60J28, 60K25, 93B40

**Keywords:** *two-channel queueing system, Erlangian service times, random dropping of customers, fictitious phase method, hysteretic strategy, recurrence relations, steady-state characteristics*

### 1. Introduction

For investigating queueing systems with Erlang distributions and, in particular, the  $M/E_s/n/\infty$  system, the fictitious phase method developed by A.K. Erlang [1] is used. For the Erlang distribution of the  $s$ th order of service time, it is supposed that each customer sequentially passes through  $s$  phases of service whose durations are distributed by exponential laws with parameters  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_s$ , respectively.

Accounting for phases requires the fixation of the corresponding states and leads to the increase in the cumbersomeness of the description of a queueing system with phase-type distributions. The direct solution of a system of equations for steady-state probabilities of states can result in being impossible in view of a large size of the coefficient matrix of a system. The algorithmic approach is most expedient since it presumes the obtaining of a solution to systems of equations in the form of recursive formulas or in the form of matrix recurrence relations and algorithms [2-10]. The method proposed in [7-10] is based on the use of direct

recurrence relations following immediately from system equations for steady-state probabilities. It does not contain iterations and it does not presume preliminary transformations of the system of equations being solved.

The objective of this article is the construction of recurrent algorithms with the help of the fictitious phase method to compute the steady-state distribution of the number of customers in the  $M/E_s/2/m$  and  $M/E_s/2/\infty$  queuing system, where  $s \geq 2$ , with hysteretic strategy of the random dropping of customers. The random dropping of customers is used in queuing systems with a view for preventing overloads when each arriving customer can be discarded with a definite probability dependent on the queue length at the moment of arrival of a customer even if the buffer is not completely filled [11-13].

## 2. The $M/E_s/2/m$ system with hysteretic strategy of random dropping of customers

Let us consider the  $M/E_s/2/m$  system, where  $s \geq 2$  and  $m$  is the maximum number of customers who can simultaneously be in the queue. The input flow of customers is Poisson, i.e., the time intervals between the moments of arrival of customers adjacent in time are independent random variables exponentially distributed with the parameter  $\lambda$ . The service time of each customer is distributed according to the generalized Erlang law, of the order  $s$ , so the service time is the sum of  $s$  independent random variables exponentially distributed with parameters  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_s$  respectively.

We consider the random dropping of customers that is implemented according to the following rule: if, at the moment of arrival of a customer, the number of customers in the system is equal to  $k$  (without making allowance for the arrived one), then the customer is accepted for service with probability  $\beta_k$  ( $0 < \beta_k \leq 1$ ,  $\beta_{m+2} = 0$ ) and is refused (discarded) with probability  $1 - \beta_k$ .

We consider a hysteretic strategy of the random dropping of customers with two thresholds  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  ( $3 \leq h_1 < h_2 < m + 1$ ) and with two operating modes, namely, basic and dropping mode. Assume that  $\beta_k = 1$  when  $0 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1$  for the basic mode and  $0 < \beta_k < 1$  when  $h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m + 1$  for the dropping mode. The dropping mode continues from the moment when the number of customers in the system achieves the value of  $h_2$  up to the moment when the number of customers is reduced to the value of  $h_1$ . If, at the moment of arrival of a customer, the condition  $h_1 < k < h_2$  is satisfied, then the mode is not changed. The rate of the simplest flow of customers accepted for service in the dropping mode is equal to  $\lambda_k = \lambda \beta_k$ . In a partial case of the hysteretic strategy, assuming that  $\beta_k = \beta$  ( $0 < \beta < 1$ ) for all  $k$  in the dropping mode. If  $m = \infty$ , then  $\beta_k = \tilde{\beta}$ ,  $k \geq h \geq h_2$ , where  $0 < \tilde{\beta} < 1$ .

The  $M/E_s/2/m$  system with the hysteretic strategy of the random dropping of customers we denote by  $M(h_1, h_2)/E_s/2/m$ . We introduce the following designations for system states in the basic mode:  $s_0$  signifies that customers are absent in the system;  $s_{k(ij)}$  signifies that  $k$  customers are present in the system ( $1 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1$ ), and that two customers are at the  $i$ th phase of service and at the  $j$ th one ( $1 \leq i \leq s, i \leq j \leq s$ ) respectively. The states  $s_{1(0j)}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ) correspond to one working channel and  $j$ th phase of service. We denote the steady-state probabilities of staying the system at the states  $s_0$  and  $s_{k(ij)}$  by  $p_0$  and  $p_{k(ij)}$ , respectively. Let  $\tilde{s}_{k(ij)}$  be the state similar to  $s_{k(ij)}$  in the dropping mode and  $q_{k(ij)}$  be the steady-state probability of staying the system at the state  $\tilde{s}_{k(ij)}$  ( $h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m + 2, 1 \leq i \leq s, i \leq j \leq s$ ). We assume that  $p_{1(0j)} = p_{1(1j)}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ).

To determine steady-state probabilities, we obtain the system of homogeneous algebraic equations with normalization condition

$$p_0 + \sum_{j=1}^s p_{1(0j)} + \sum_{k=2}^{h_2-1} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=i}^s p_{k(ij)} + \sum_{k=h_1+1}^{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=i}^s q_{k(ij)} = 1. \quad (1)$$

Introducing the notation

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\lambda}{\mu_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s; \quad \eta_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s; \quad \alpha_{k(1)} = \frac{\lambda_k}{\mu_1}, \quad h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m + 1;$$

$$\tilde{p}_{k(ij)} = \frac{p_{k(ij)}}{p_0}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s, \quad i \leq j \leq s;$$

$$\tilde{q}_{k(ij)} = \frac{q_{k(ij)}}{p_0}, \quad h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m + 2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s, \quad i \leq j \leq s;$$

$$\tilde{p}_{k(is)} = p_{ki}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1, \quad \tilde{q}_{k(is)} = q_{ki}, \quad h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m + 2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s,$$

and using the system of algebraic equations, we find:

$$\tilde{p}_{1(0s)} = \alpha_s, \quad \tilde{p}_{1(01)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 1} (\alpha_1 + \eta_s p_{21});$$

$$\tilde{p}_{1(0j)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_j} (\eta_{j-1} \tilde{p}_{1(0,j-1)} + \eta_s p_{2j}), \quad 2 \leq j \leq s - 1;$$

$$\tilde{p}_{k(11)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 2} (\alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{k-1(11)} + \eta_s p_{k+1,1}), \quad 2 \leq k \leq h_2 - 2, \quad k \neq h_1;$$

$$\tilde{p}_{h_1(11)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 2} (\alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_1-1(11)} + \eta_s (p_{h_1+1,1} + q_{h_1+1,1})), \quad \tilde{p}_{h_2-1(11)} = \frac{\alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_2-2(11)}}{\alpha_1 + 2};$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{q}_{h_1+1(11)} &= \frac{\eta_s q_{h_1+2,1}}{\alpha_{h_1+1(1)} + 2}, \quad \tilde{q}_{h_2(11)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{h_2(1)} + 2} (\alpha_{h_2-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{h_2-1(11)} + \alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_2-1(11)} + \eta_s q_{h_2+1,1}); \\
\tilde{q}_{k(11)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{k(1)} + 2} (\alpha_{k-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{k-1(11)} + \eta_s q_{k+1,1}), \quad h_1 + 2 \leq k \leq m+1, k \neq h_2; \\
\tilde{q}_{m+2(11)} &= \frac{\alpha_{m+1(1)}}{2} \tilde{q}_{m+1(11)}; \\
\tilde{p}_{k(12)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_2 + 1} (\alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{k-1(12)} + 2 \tilde{p}_{k(11)} + \eta_s p_{k+1,2}), \quad 2 \leq k \leq h_2 - 2, k \neq h_1; \\
\tilde{p}_{h_1(12)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_2 + 1} (\alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_1-1(12)} + 2 \tilde{p}_{h_1(11)} + \eta_s (p_{h_1+1,2} + q_{h_1+1,2})); \\
\tilde{p}_{h_2-1(12)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_2 + 1} (\alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_2-2(12)} + 2 \tilde{p}_{h_2-1(11)}); \\
\tilde{q}_{h_1+1(12)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{h_1+1(1)} + \eta_2 + 1} (2 \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(11)} + \eta_s q_{h_1+2,2}), \quad \tilde{q}_{m+2(12)} = \frac{1}{\eta_2 + 1} (\alpha_{m+1(1)} \tilde{q}_{m+1(12)} + 2 \tilde{q}_{m+2(11)}); \\
\tilde{q}_{k(12)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{k(1)} + \eta_2 + 1} (\alpha_{k-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{k-1(12)} + 2 \tilde{q}_{k(11)} + \eta_s q_{k+1,2}), \quad h_1 + 2 \leq k \leq m+1, k \neq h_2; \\
\tilde{q}_{h_2(12)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{h_2(1)} + \eta_2 + 1} (\alpha_{h_2-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{h_2-1(12)} + \alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_2-1(12)} + 2 \tilde{q}_{h_2(11)} + \eta_s q_{h_2+1,2}); \\
\tilde{p}_{k(1j)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_j + 1} (\alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{k-1(1j)} + \eta_{j-1} \tilde{p}_{k(1,j-1)} + \eta_s p_{k+1,j}), \\
&\quad 2 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1, k \neq h_1; 3 \leq j \leq s-1; \\
\tilde{p}_{h_1(1j)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_j + 1} (\alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_1-1(1j)} + \eta_{j-1} \tilde{p}_{h_1(1,j-1)} + \eta_s (p_{h_1+1,j} + q_{h_1+1,j})), \quad 3 \leq j \leq s-1; \\
\tilde{p}_{h_2-1(1j)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_j + 1} (\alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_2-2(1j)} + \eta_{j-1} \tilde{p}_{h_2-1(1,j-1)}), \quad 3 \leq j \leq s-1; \\
\tilde{q}_{h_1+1(1j)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_j + 1} (\eta_{j-1} \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(1,j-1)} + \eta_s q_{h_1+2,j}), \quad 3 \leq j \leq s-1; \\
\tilde{q}_{k(1j)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{k(1)} + \eta_j + 1} (\alpha_{k-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{k-1(1j)} + \eta_{j-1} \tilde{q}_{k(1,j-1)} + \eta_s q_{k+1,j}), \\
&\quad h_1 + 2 \leq k \leq m+1, k \neq h_2; 3 \leq j \leq s-1; \\
\tilde{q}_{h_2(1j)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{h_2(1)} + \eta_j + 1} (\alpha_{h_2-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{h_2-1(1j)} + \alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_2-1(1j)} + \eta_{j-1} \tilde{q}_{h_2(1,j-1)} + \eta_s q_{h_2+1,j}), \quad 3 \leq j \leq s-1; \\
\tilde{q}_{m+2(1j)} &= \frac{1}{\eta_j + 1} (\alpha_{m+1(1)} \tilde{q}_{m+1(1j)} + \eta_{j-1} \tilde{q}_{m+2(1,j-1)}), \quad 3 \leq j \leq s-1;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{p}_{2(ii)} &= \frac{\eta_{i-1}}{\alpha_1 + 2\eta_i} \tilde{p}_{2(i-1,i)}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-1; \\
 \tilde{p}_{k(ii)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 2\eta_i} \left( \alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{k-1(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{p}_{k(i-1,i)} \right), \quad 3 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1, \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-1; \\
 \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(ii)} &= \frac{\eta_{i-1} \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(i-1,i)}}{\alpha_{h_1+1(1)} + 2\eta_i}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-1; \\
 \tilde{q}_{k(ii)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{k(1)} + 2\eta_i} \left( \alpha_{k-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{k-1(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{q}_{k(i-1,i)} \right), \quad h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m+1, \quad k \neq h_2; \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-1; \\
 \tilde{q}_{h_2(ii)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{h_2(1)} + 2\eta_i} \left( \alpha_{h_2-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{h_2-1(ii)} + \alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_2-1(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{q}_{h_2(i-1,i)} \right), \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-1; \\
 \tilde{q}_{m+2(ii)} &= \frac{1}{2\eta_i} \left( \alpha_{m+1(1)} \tilde{q}_{m+1(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{q}_{m+2(i-1,i)} \right), \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-1; \\
 \tilde{p}_{2(i,i+1)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_i + \eta_{i+1}} \left( 2\eta_i \tilde{p}_{2(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{p}_{2(i-1,i+1)} \right), \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-2; \\
 \tilde{p}_{2(ij)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_i + \eta_j} \left( \eta_{i-1} \tilde{p}_{2(i-1,j)} + \eta_{j-1} \tilde{p}_{2(i,j-1)} \right), \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-3, \quad i+2 \leq j \leq s-1; \\
 \tilde{p}_{k(i,j+1)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_i + \eta_{i+1}} \left( \alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{k-1(i,j+1)} + 2\eta_i \tilde{p}_{k(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{p}_{k(i-1,j+1)} \right), \\
 &\quad 3 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1, \quad 2 \leq j \leq s-2; \\
 \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(i,j+1)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{h_1+1(1)} + \eta_i + \eta_{i+1}} \left( 2\eta_i \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(i-1,j+1)} \right), \quad 2 \leq j \leq s-2; \\
 \tilde{q}_{k(i,j+1)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{k(1)} + \eta_i + \eta_{i+1}} \left( \alpha_{k-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{k-1(i,j+1)} + 2\eta_i \tilde{q}_{k(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{q}_{k(i-1,j+1)} \right), \\
 &\quad h_1 + 2 \leq k \leq m+1, \quad k \neq h_2; \quad 2 \leq j \leq s-2; \\
 \tilde{q}_{h_2(i,j+1)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{h_2(1)} + \eta_i + \eta_{i+1}} \left( \alpha_{h_2-1(1)} \tilde{q}_{h_2-1(i,j+1)} + \alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{h_2-1(i,j+1)} + 2\eta_i \tilde{q}_{h_2(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{q}_{h_2(i-1,j+1)} \right), \\
 &\quad 2 \leq i \leq s-2; \\
 \tilde{q}_{m+2(i,j+1)} &= \frac{1}{\eta_i + \eta_{i+1}} \left( \alpha_{m+1(1)} \tilde{q}_{m+1(i,j+1)} + 2\eta_i \tilde{q}_{m+2(ii)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{q}_{m+2(i-1,j+1)} \right), \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-2; \\
 \tilde{p}_{k(ij)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \eta_i + \eta_j} \left( \alpha_1 \tilde{p}_{k-1(ij)} + \eta_{i-1} \tilde{p}_{k(i-1,j)} + \eta_{j-1} \tilde{p}_{k(i,j-1)} \right), \\
 &\quad 3 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1, \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-3, \quad i+2 \leq j \leq s-1; \\
 \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(ij)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{h_1+1(1)} + \eta_i + \eta_j} \left( \eta_{i-1} \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(i-1,j)} + \eta_{j-1} \tilde{q}_{h_1+1(i,j-1)} \right), \quad 2 \leq i \leq s-3, \quad i+2 \leq j \leq s-1;
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{k(s-2,s-2)}, 2 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1; & \quad \tilde{q}_{k(s-2,s-2)}, h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m + 2; \\ \tilde{P}_{k(s-2,s-1)}, 3 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1; & \quad \tilde{q}_{k(s-2,s-1)}, h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m + 2; \\ \tilde{P}_{k(s-1,s-1)}, 2 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1; & \quad \tilde{q}_{k(s-1,s-1)}, h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m + 2. \end{aligned}$$

To determine unknown parameters  $p_{ki}$  ( $2 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) and  $q_{ki}$  ( $h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m + 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ), we use the system that consists of  $s(h_2 - h_1 + m)$  equations that have not been involved in obtaining recurrence relations (2),

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\lambda + \mu_1 + \mu_s)p_{k(1s)} + \lambda p_{k-1(1s)} + 2\mu_s p_{k+1(s)} + \mu_{s-1}p_{k(1,s-1)} = 0, \quad 2 \leq k \leq h_2 - 2, \quad k \neq h_1; \\ & -(\lambda + \mu_1 + \mu_s)p_{h_1(1s)} + \lambda p_{h_1-1(1s)} + 2\mu_s(p_{h_1+1(s)} + q_{h_1+1(s)}) + \mu_{s-1}p_{h_1(1,s-1)} = 0; \\ & -(\lambda + \mu_1 + \mu_s)p_{h_2-1(1s)} + \lambda p_{h_2-2(1s)} + \mu_{s-1}p_{h_2-1(1,s-1)} = 0; \\ & -(\lambda_{h_1+1} + \mu_1 + \mu_s)q_{h_1+1(1s)} + 2\mu_s q_{h_1+2(s)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{h_1+1(1,s-1)} = 0; \\ & -(\lambda_k + \mu_1 + \mu_s)q_{k(1s)} + \lambda_{k-1}q_{k-1(1s)} + 2\mu_s q_{k+1(s)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{k(1,s-1)} = 0, \\ & \quad \quad \quad h_1 + 2 \leq k \leq m + 1, \quad k \neq h_2; \\ & -(\lambda_{h_2} + \mu_1 + \mu_s)q_{h_2(1s)} + \lambda_{h_2-1}q_{h_2-1(1s)} + \lambda p_{h_2-1(1s)} + 2\mu_s q_{h_2+1(s)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{h_2(1,s-1)} = 0; \\ & -(\mu_1 + \mu_s)q_{m+2(1s)} + \lambda_{m+1}q_{m+1(1s)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{m+2(1,s-1)} = 0; \\ & -(\lambda + 2\mu_s)p_{2(ss)} + \mu_{s-1}p_{2(s-1,s)} = 0; \\ & -(\lambda + \mu_{s-1} + \mu_s)p_{2(s-1,s)} + 2\mu_{s-1}p_{2(s-1,s-1)} + \mu_{s-2}p_{2(s-2,s)} = 0; \\ & -(\lambda + \mu_i + \mu_s)p_{2(is)} + \mu_{i-1}p_{2(i-1,s)} + \mu_{s-1}p_{2(i,s-1)} = 0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq s - 2; \\ & -(\lambda + 2\mu_s)p_{k(ss)} + \lambda p_{k-1(ss)} + \mu_{s-1}p_{k(s-1,s)} = 0, \quad 3 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1; \\ & -(\lambda_{h_1+1} + 2\mu_s)q_{h_1+1(ss)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{h_1+1(s-1,s)} = 0; \\ & -(\lambda_k + 2\mu_s)q_{k(ss)} + \lambda_{k-1}q_{k-1(ss)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{k(s-1,s)} = 0, \quad h_1 + 2 \leq k \leq m + 1, \quad k \neq h_2; \\ & -(\lambda_{h_2} + 2\mu_s)q_{h_2(ss)} + \lambda_{h_2-1}q_{h_2-1(ss)} + \lambda p_{h_2-1(ss)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{h_2(s-1,s)} = 0; \\ & -2\mu_s q_{m+2(ss)} + \lambda_{m+1}q_{m+1(ss)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{m+2(s-1,s)} = 0; \\ & -(\lambda + \mu_{s-1} + \mu_s)p_{k(s-1,s)} + \lambda p_{k-1(s-1,s)} + 2\mu_{s-1}p_{k(s-1,s-1)} + \mu_{s-2}p_{k(s-2,s)} = 0, \\ & \quad \quad \quad 3 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1; \\ & -(\lambda_{h_1+1} + \mu_{s-1} + \mu_s)q_{h_1+1(s-1,s)} + 2\mu_{s-1}q_{h_1+1(s-1,s-1)} + \mu_{s-2}q_{h_1+1(s-2,s)} = 0; \\ & -(\lambda_k + \mu_{s-1} + \mu_s)q_{k(s-1,s)} + \lambda_{k-1}q_{k-1(s-1,s)} + 2\mu_{s-1}q_{k(s-1,s-1)} + \mu_{s-2}q_{k(s-2,s)} = 0, \\ & \quad \quad \quad h_1 + 2 \leq k \leq m + 1, \quad k \neq h_2; \\ & -(\lambda_{h_2} + \mu_{s-1} + \mu_s)q_{h_2(s-1,s)} + \lambda_{h_2-1}q_{h_2-1(s-1,s)} + \lambda p_{h_2-1(s-1,s)} + \\ & \quad \quad \quad + 2\mu_{s-1}q_{h_2(s-1,s-1)} + \mu_{s-2}q_{h_2(s-2,s)} = 0; \\ & -(\mu_{s-1} + \mu_s)q_{m+2(s-1,s)} + \lambda_{m+1}q_{m+1(s-1,s)} + 2\mu_{s-1}q_{m+2(s-1,s-1)} + \mu_{s-2}q_{m+2(s-2,s)} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\lambda + \mu_i + \mu_s)p_{k(is)} + \lambda p_{k-1(is)} + \mu_{i-1}p_{k(i-1,s)} + \mu_{s-1}p_{k(i,s-1)} = 0, \\
& \quad 3 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1; \quad 2 \leq i \leq s - 2; \\
& -(\lambda_{h_1+1} + \mu_i + \mu_s)q_{h_1+1(is)} + \mu_{i-1}q_{h_1+1(i-1,s)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{h_1+1(i,s-1)} = 0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq s - 2; \\
& -(\lambda_k + \mu_i + \mu_s)q_{k(is)} + \lambda_{k-1}q_{k-1(is)} + \mu_{i-1}q_{k(i-1,s)} + \mu_{j-1}q_{k(i,s-1)} = 0, \\
& \quad h_1 + 2 \leq k \leq m + 1, \quad k \neq h_2; \quad 2 \leq i \leq s - 2; \\
& -(\lambda_{h_2} + \mu_i + \mu_s)q_{h_2(is)} + \lambda_{h_2-1}q_{h_2-1(is)} + \lambda p_{h_2-1(is)} + \mu_{i-1}q_{h_2(i-1,s)} + \mu_{j-1}q_{h_2(i,s-1)} = 0, \\
& \quad 2 \leq i \leq s - 2; \\
& -(\mu_i + \mu_s)q_{m+2(is)} + \lambda_{m+1}q_{m+1(is)} + \mu_{i-1}q_{m+2(i-1,s)} + \mu_{s-1}q_{m+2(i,s-1)} = 0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq s - 2.
\end{aligned}$$

We use normalization condition (1) and determine the steady-state probabilities by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
p_0 &= \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^s \tilde{p}_{1(0j)} + \sum_{k=2}^{h_2-1} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=i}^s \tilde{p}_{k(ij)} + \sum_{k=h_1+1}^{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=i}^s \tilde{q}_{k(ij)} \right)^{-1}, \\
p_k &= p_0 \tilde{p}_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m + 2; \quad \tilde{p}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^s \tilde{p}_{1(0j)}; \quad \tilde{p}_k = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=i}^s \tilde{p}_{k(ij)}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq h_1; \\
\tilde{p}_k &= \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=i}^s (\tilde{p}_{k(ij)} + \tilde{q}_{k(ij)}), \quad h_1 + 1 \leq k \leq h_2 - 1; \quad \tilde{p}_k = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=i}^s \tilde{q}_{k(ij)}, \quad h_2 \leq k \leq m + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $p_k$  is steady-state probability of presence  $k$  customers in the system.

We calculate the steady-state characteristics - the average number of customers in the system  $\mathbf{E}(C)$ , the average queue length  $\mathbf{E}(Q)$ , average waiting time  $\mathbf{E}(W)$  and service probability  $\mathbf{P}_{sv}$  - by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}(C) &= \sum_{k=1}^{m+2} k p_k, \quad \mathbf{E}(Q) = \sum_{k=3}^{m+2} (k-2) p_k, \quad \mathbf{E}(W) = \frac{\mathbf{E}(Q)}{\lambda \mathbf{P}_{sv}}, \\
\mathbf{P}_{sv} &= \frac{\bar{\mu}(2(1-p_0) - p_1)}{\lambda}, \quad \bar{\mu} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{\mu_i} \right)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

### 3. The system without restrictions on the queue length

For the  $M(h_1, h_2)/E_s/2/\infty$  system, any constraint on the queue length is absent and, for the existence of stationary distribution of the number of customers in the system, the condition  $\lambda \tilde{\beta} < 2\bar{\mu}$  must be satisfied. Determining approximate values of steady-state probabilities  $p_k$  is reduced to the use of recurrence relations (2) for

large values of  $m$ . We choose the number  $N = m + 2$  so large that one of the conditions (or each of these conditions) specifying the accuracy of determining steady-state probabilities is fulfilled. These conditions can be specified, for example, in the form

$$\mathbf{E}(C)_{(N)} - \mathbf{E}(C)_{(N-1)} < \varepsilon_1, \quad \mathbf{E}(Q)_{(N)} - \mathbf{E}(Q)_{(N-1)} < \varepsilon_2. \quad (3)$$

Here  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are positive numbers specifying the required accuracy of computations;  $\mathbf{E}(C)_{(N)}$  and  $\mathbf{E}(Q)_{(N)}$  are approximate values of steady-state characteristics  $\mathbf{E}(C)$  and  $\mathbf{E}(Q)$ , computed using steady-state probabilities  $p_{k(N)}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq N$ );  $p_{k(N)}$  is an approximate value of a steady-state probability  $p_k$ , which is obtained as a result of truncation of an infinite system of equations for steady-state probabilities.

#### 4. Numerical examples

Let us consider examples of determining steady-state characteristics of the  $M(h_1, h_2)/E_5/2/\infty$  queueing system for different values of the thresholds  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ : 1)  $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 8$ ; 2)  $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 10$ ; 3)  $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 12$ . Let  $\lambda = 1$ ;  $\mu_i = 2.5$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ ; the probabilities  $\beta_k$  for the dropping mode be set according to the rule:  $\beta_k = \beta = 0.8$  for  $k \geq h_1 + 1$ . For comparison, we calculate the stationary characteristics of the  $M/E_5/2/43$  system, which does not apply the random dropping of customers.

The values of the steady-state probabilities  $p_k$  and stationary characteristics of the  $M(h_1, h_2)/E_5/2/\infty$  system for cases 1-3, found using the recurrence relations obtained in this paper, as well as of the  $M/E_5/2/43$  system, are presented in Tables 1 and 2. In order to verify the obtained values, Table 2 contains the computing results evaluated by the GPSS World simulation system [14] for the simulation time value  $t = 10^7$ .

In computing approximate values of steady-state probabilities  $p_k$ , the value of  $N$  was selected so large that conditions (3) were satisfied when  $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$ . The obtained minimal values of  $N$  for cases 1-3 are equal to 45, 45 and 47 respectively.

Analyzing the results, presented in Table 2, we see that the control of the input flow rate with the help of random dropping of customers makes it possible to considerably reduce the average queue length with an insignificant decrease in the system throughput. Thus, the decrease in the average queue length in the  $M(h_1, h_2)/E_5/2/\infty$  system (the case of  $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 8$ ) in comparison with the  $M/E_5/2/43$  system amounts to 81.6%, with a decrease in the relative throughput by 5.3%. If the value of the threshold  $h_2$  increases, leaving the same value of  $h_1$ , then  $\mathbf{E}(Q)$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{sv}$  increase.

Table 1

## Stationary distribution of the number of customers in the systems

$k$	Values of the steady-state probabilities $p_k$			
	$M/E_5/2/43$	$M(h_1, h_2)/E_5/2/\infty,$ $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 8$	$M(h_1, h_2)/E_5/2/\infty,$ $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 10$	$M(h_1, h_2)/E_5/2/\infty,$ $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 12$
0	0.006270	0.030479	0.027564	0.025071
1	0.014577	0.070856	0.064078	0.058284
2	0.019569	0.095119	0.086020	0.078242
3	0.021658	0.105274	0.095203	0.086595
4	0.022351	0.108637	0.098247	0.089366
5	0.022544	0.109648	0.099131	0.090157
6	0.022589	0.107500	0.097400	0.088673
7	0.022597	0.101170	0.096390	0.088693
8	0.022598	0.080393	0.087893	0.083804
9	0.022598	0.058035	0.072205	0.075011
10	0.022598	0.040450	0.052594	0.063798
11	0.022598	0.028147	0.037520	0.050642
12	0.022598	0.019577	0.026111	0.036400
13	0.022598	0.013616	0.018162	0.025953
14	0.022598	0.009470	0.012632	0.018059
15	0.022598	0.006586	0.008785	0.012561
20	0.022598	0.001072	0.001430	0.002044
30	0.022598	0.000028	0.000038	0.000054
40	0.022598	$7.517 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.003 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.434 \cdot 10^{-6}$
45	0.013559	$8.236 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.099 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.354 \cdot 10^{-7}$

Table 2

## Stationary characteristics of the systems

System	Method	Values of the stationary characteristics			
		$E(C)$	$E(Q)$	$E(W)$	$P_{sv}$
$M/E_5/2/43$	Recurrence	22.88257	20.90968	21.19709	0.98644
	GPSS World	22.896	20.923	21.212	0.986
$M(h_1, h_2)/E_5/2/\infty,$ $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 8$	Recurrence	5.71082	3.84263	4.11376	0.93409
	GPSS World	5.717	3.848	4.118	0.935
$M(h_1, h_2)/E_5/2/\infty,$ $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 10$	Recurrence	6.18609	4.30530	4.57817	0.94040
	GPSS World	6.179	4.299	4.571	0.941
$M(h_1, h_2)/E_5/2/\infty,$ $h_1 = 6, h_2 = 12$	Recurrence	6.71627	4.82469	5.10125	0.94579
	GPSS World	6.712	4.821	5.097	0.946

## 5. Conclusions

Using the method of fictitious phases, an algorithm for calculating the stationary distribution of the number of customers in the  $M/E_s/2/m$  systems with hysteretic strategy of the random dropping of customers, inclusive of the case  $m = \infty$ , is constructed. The obtained recurrence relations are used for the direct computation of the solutions of the algebraic system for the steady-state probabilities, which makes it possible to reduce the amount of computations in comparison with the direct or iterative classical methods. Using the obtained recurrence relations makes it possible to reduce the number of solved equations from  $(s + 1)(2 + s(h_2 - h_1 + m)) / 2$  to  $s(h_2 - h_1 + m)$ .

## References

- [1] Brockmeyer, E., Halström, H.L., & Jensen, A. (1948). *The Life and Works of A.K. Erlang*. Copenhagen: Danish Academy of Technical Sciences.
- [2] Neuts, M.F. (1981). *Matrix-geometric Solutions in Stochastic Models*. Baltimore: The John's Hopkins University Press.
- [3] Bocharov, P.P., & Litvin, V.G. (1986). Methods of analysis and calculation of queueing systems with distributions of phase type, *Avtomatika i Telemekhanika*, 5, 5-23 (in Russian).
- [4] Takahashi, Y., & Takami, Y. (1976). A numerical method for the steady-state probabilities of a GI/G/c queueing system in a general class. *J. Oper. Res. Soc. Japan*, 19, 2, 147-157.
- [5] Ryzhikov, Yu.I. (1985). Recurrent calculation of multi-channel queueing systems with unlimited queue. *Avtomatika i Telemekhanika*, 6, 88-93 (in Russian).
- [6] Ryzhikov, Yu.I. (1980). Algorithm for calculating a multichannel system with Erlang service. *Avtomatika i Telemekhanika*, 5, 30-37 (in Russian).
- [7] Zhernovyi, K.Yu. (2017). Determining stationary characteristics of two-channel queueing systems with Erlangian distribution of service time. *Cybernetics and Systems Analysis*, 53, 1, 92-104.
- [8] Zhernovyi, Yu.V., & Zhernovyi K.Yu. (2017). Determination of steady-state characteristics of three-channel queueing systems with Erlangian service times. *Cybernetics and Systems Analysis*, 53, 2, 280-292.
- [9] Zhernovyi, Yu.V. (2017). Determining steady-state characteristics of some queueing systems with Erlangian distributions. *Cybernetics and Systems Analysis*, 53, 5, 776-784.
- [10] Kopytko, B., & Zhernovyi, K. (2016). Steady-state characteristics of three-channel queueing systems with Erlangian service times. *JAMCM*, 15(3), 75-87.
- [11] Chydzinski, A. (2013). *Nowe modele kolejkowe dla węzłów sieci pakietowych*. Gliwice: Pracownia Komputerowa Jacka Skalmierskiego.
- [12] Tikhonenko, O., & Kempa, W.M. (2013). Queue-size distribution in M/G/1-type system with bounded capacity and packet dropping. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 356, 177-186.
- [13] Zhernovyi, Yu., Kopytko, B., & Zhernovyi K. (2014). On characteristics of the  $M^0/G/1/m$  and  $M^0/G/1$  queues with queue-size based packet dropping. *JAMCM*, 13, 4, 163-175.
- [14] Zhernovyi, Yu. (2015). *Creating Models of Queueing Systems Using GPSS World*. Saarbrücken: LAP Lambert Academic Publishing.