

Please cite this article as:

Grzegorz Biernat, Urszula Siedlecka, Finite difference method in Fourier equation internal case - direct formulas, Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, 2011, Volume 10, Issue 2, pages 11-16.

The website: <http://www.amcm.pcz.pl/>

Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, 2(10) 2011, 11-16

## FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD IN FOURIER EQUATION INTERNAL CASE - DIRECT FORMULAS

*Grzegorz Biernat, Urszula Siedlecka*

*Institute of Mathematics, Czestochowa University of Technology, Poland*  
*grzegorz.biernat@im.pcz.pl, urszula.siedlecka@im.pcz.pl*

**Abstract.** In the paper we present the method of calculating the matrix block determinant which characterizes internal heat conduction in the  $(x,y,t)$  case.

### Introduction

The paper refers to the Finite Difference Method (FDM) in the heat conduction Fourier equation in the two-dimensional case (cf. [1]) and also to work [2], which considered the  $(x,t)$  case. We consider only the internal heat conduction in FDM.

### 1. Mathematics preliminary

Let  $f$  be a polynomial of degree  $k$

$$f(x) = x^k - r_1 x^{k-1} + \dots + (-1)^{k-1} r_{k-1} x + (-1)^k r_k = (x - p_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - p_k) \quad (1)$$

where  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are zeros of polynomial  $f$ . Let  $A$  be a square matrix of degree  $n$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . The following method allows us to calculate the matrix determinant

$$f(A) = A^k - r_1 A^{k-1} + \dots + (-1)^{k-1} r_{k-1} A + (-1)^k r_k I = (A - p_1 I) \cdot \dots \cdot (A - p_k I) \quad (2)$$

as a sum of powers of the fundamental symmetric polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \\ \tau_2(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \lambda_n \\ &\vdots \\ \tau_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_n \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1(p_1, \dots, p_k) &= p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k \\ \omega_2(p_1, \dots, p_k) &= p_1 p_2 + p_2 p_3 + \dots + p_{k-1} p_k \\ &\vdots \\ \omega_k(p_1, \dots, p_k) &= p_1 p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

with integer coefficients.

Indeed, let

$$w_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = (-1)^n (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda - \lambda_n)\tag{5}$$

be the characteristic polynomial of matrix  $A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\det f(A) &= \det(A - Ip_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \det(A - Ip_k) = w_A(p_1) \cdot \dots \cdot w_A(p_k) \\ &= (-1)^{kn} (p_1 - \lambda_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (p_1 - \lambda_n) \cdot (p_2 - \lambda_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (p_2 - \lambda_n) \cdot \dots \cdot (p_k - \lambda_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (p_k - \lambda_n)\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

We can assume that  $p_j \neq 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Continuing this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\det f(A) &= (-1)^{kn} p_1^n \cdot p_2^n \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^n \cdot \\ &\quad \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{p_1}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{p_1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{p_2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{p_2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{p_k}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{p_k}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{kn} \omega_k^n \left[ 1 - s_1 \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_1}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_2}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_k}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_k} \right) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^l s_l \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_1}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_2}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_k}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_k} \right) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{kn} s_{kn} \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_1}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_2}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_k}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_k} \right) \right]\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where

$$s_l \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_1}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_2}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_k}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_k} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{p_1^l} + \frac{1}{p_2^l} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_k^l} \right) \cdot (\lambda_1^l + \lambda_2^l + \dots + \lambda_n^l)\tag{8}$$

$l = 2, \dots, kn-1$ , are successive symmetric polynomials which depend on the set of variables indicated in parentheses. Of course

$$\begin{aligned}s_1 \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_1}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_2}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_k}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_k} \right) &= \left( \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_k} \right) (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n) = \frac{\omega_{k-1}}{\omega_k} \cdot \tau_1 \\ s_{kn} \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_1}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_2}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_k}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_k} \right) &= \frac{\lambda_1^k \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_n^k}{p_1^n \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^n} = \frac{\tau_n^k}{\omega_k^n}\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Moreover, at most  $n$ -ths power of zeros,  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  appear in the denominators of these fractions. It follows from Newton's formulas (cf. [3], [4]) that every sum  $s_l$  is the determinant

$$s_l = \frac{1}{l!} \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sigma_3 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{l-1} & \sigma_{l-2} & \dots & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & l-1 \\ \sigma_l & \sigma_{l-1} & \dots & \sigma_3 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \end{vmatrix} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_l &\left( \frac{\lambda_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_1}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_2}, \frac{\lambda_1}{p_k}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{p_k} \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1^l}{p_1^l} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n^l}{p_1^l} + \frac{\lambda_1^l}{p_2^l} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n^l}{p_2^l} + \frac{\lambda_1^l}{p_k^l} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_n^l}{p_k^l} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p_1^l} + \frac{1}{p_2^l} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_k^l} \right) (\lambda_1^l + \lambda_2^l + \dots + \lambda_n^l) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Using Newton's formulas again, cf. [3], both for case  $l \leq n$  as well as in case  $l > n$ , we express factor  $\frac{1}{p_1^l} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_k^l}$  by the power of fundamental symmetric polynomials  $\omega_j$ , and factor  $\lambda_1^l + \dots + \lambda_n^l$  by the power of fundamental symmetric polynomials  $\tau_i$ . Moreover, in each component  $s_l$ ,  $l > n$ , terms with powers  $\omega_j^l$  in the denominators are reduced. After multiplying by  $\omega_k^n = p_1^n \cdot p_2^n \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^n$ , the procedure ends.

## 2. Fourier equation in $(x, y, t)$ case

We consider equation

$$\lambda \left( \frac{\Delta^2 T(x, y, t)}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{\Delta^2 T(x, y, t)}{\Delta y^2} \right) = \rho c \frac{\Delta T(x, y, t)}{\Delta t} \quad (12)$$

where  $T = T(x, y, t)$  - temperature function,  $(x, y)$  - point of two-dimensional plate,  $t$  - time,  $\lambda$  - heat conductivity of the plate,  $\rho$  - density of the plate,  $c$  - specific heat.

As usual, we assume that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta^2 T}{\Delta x^2} &= \frac{T_{i+1,jl} - 2T_{ijl} + T_{i-1,jl}}{\Delta x^2} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ \frac{\Delta^2 T}{\Delta y^2} &= \frac{T_{ij+1l} - 2T_{ijl} + T_{ij-1l}}{\Delta y^2} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t} &= \frac{T_{ijl} - T_{ijl-1}}{\Delta t} \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq q\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

The FDM leads to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} T_{i-1,jl} - \frac{2\lambda}{\Delta x^2} T_{ijl} + \frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} T_{i+1,jl} + \frac{\lambda}{\Delta y^2} T_{ij-1l} - \frac{2\lambda}{\Delta y^2} T_{ijl} + \frac{\lambda}{\Delta y^2} T_{ij+1l} \\ = \frac{\rho c}{\Delta t} T_{ijl} - \frac{\rho c}{\Delta t} T_{ijl-1}\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

at each time step  $l$ .

The matrix of this system has the three-band block form

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{D} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} \quad (15)$$

for

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} & \delta & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} & \delta & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} & \delta & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta x^2} & \delta \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} \quad (16)$$

where  $\delta = \frac{2\lambda}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{\Delta y^2} + \frac{\rho c}{\Delta t}$  (compare [5]). However

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta y^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta y^2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta y^2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta y^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta y^2} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} = -\frac{\lambda}{\Delta y^2} \cdot \mathbf{I} \quad (17)$$

According to the method given in Section 1, the determinant of matrix  $\mathbf{P}$ , after removing factor  $\left(-\frac{\lambda}{\Delta y^2}\right)^{mn}$ , we count by the determinant of the matrix

$$\mathbf{P}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}' & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A}' & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A}' & \mathbf{I} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A}' & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A}' \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}' = \begin{bmatrix} \delta' & \frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2} & \delta' & \frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2} & \delta' & \frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2} & \delta' & \frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2} & \delta' \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} \quad (19)$$

$\delta' = -\frac{2\Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2} - 2 - \frac{\rho c \Delta y^2}{\lambda \Delta t}$ , as follows (cf. [5])

$$\det \mathbf{P}' = \det \left( \mathbf{A}'^n - \binom{n-1}{1} \mathbf{A}'^{n-2} + \binom{n-2}{2} \mathbf{A}'^{n-4} - \dots \right) = \det f(\mathbf{A}') \quad (20)$$

where

$$f(x) = x^n - \binom{n-1}{1} x^{n-2} + \binom{n-2}{2} x^{n-4} - \dots \quad (21)$$

Consequently, the determinant of the three-band block matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  is expressed by the power of the coefficients of polynomial  $f$  and power sums of the principal minors of matrix  $\mathbf{A}'$ .

## References

- [1] Mochnicki B., Majchrzak E., Metody numeryczne. Podstawy teoretyczne, aspekty praktyczne i algorytmy, Wydawnictwo Politechniki Śląskiej, Gliwice 2004.
- [2] Biernat G., Mazur J., Finite difference method in the Fourier equation with Newton's boundary conditions direct formulas, Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science 2008, 2(7), 123-128.
- [3] Mostowski A., Stark M., Elementy algebra wyższej, PWN, Warszawa 1970.
- [4] Lancaster P., Tismenetsky M., The Theory of Matrices, second edition, Academic Press 1985.
- [5] Biernat G., Boryś J., Całusińska I., Surma A., The three-band matrices, Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science 2008, 2(7), 119-122.