

## ABOUT THE EQUIVALENCE OF THE TANGENCY RELATION OF ARCS

*Tadeusz Konik*

*Institute of Mathematics, Czestochowa University of Technology, Poland, konik@imi.pcz.pl*

**Abstract.** In this paper the problem of the equivalence of the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, k, p)$  of the rectifiable arcs in the generalized metric spaces is considered. Some sufficient conditions for the equivalence of this relation of the rectifiable arcs have been given here.

### Introduction

Let  $E$  be an arbitrary non-empty set, and  $E_0$  the family of all non-empty subsets of the set  $E$ . Let  $l$  be a non-negative real function defined on the Cartesian product  $E_0 \times E_0$ , and let  $l_0$  be the function of the form:

$$l_0(x, y) = l(\{x\}, \{y\}) \quad \text{for } x, y \in E \quad (1)$$

If we put some conditions on the function  $l$ , then the function  $l_0$  defined by the formula (1) will be the metric of the set  $E$ . For this reason the pair  $(E, l)$  can be treated as a certain generalization of the metric space and we will call it (see [1]) the generalized metric space.

Using (1) we may define in the space  $(E, l)$ , similarly as in a metric space, the following notions: the sphere  $S_l(p, r)$  and the ball  $K_l(p, r)$  with centre at the point  $p$  and radius  $r$ .

Let  $a, b$  be arbitrary non-negative real functions defined in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0 such that

$$a(r) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b(r) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad (2)$$

By  $S_l(p, r)_u$  (see [1, 2]) we will denote the so-called  $u$ -neighbourhood of the sphere  $S_l(p, r)$  in the space  $(E, l)$  defined by the formula:

$$S_l(p, r)_u = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{q \in S_l(p, r)} K_l(q, u) & \text{for } u > 0 \\ S_l(p, r) & \text{for } u = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We say that the pair  $(A, B)$  of sets  $A, B \in E_0$  is  $(a, b)$ -clustered at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$ , if 0 is the cluster point of the set of all real numbers  $r > 0$  such that  $A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)} \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)} \neq \emptyset$ .

Let (see [3, 4])

$T_l(a, b, k, p) = \{(A, B) : A, B \in E_0, \text{ the pair } (A, B) \text{ is } (a, b)\text{-clustered at the point } p \text{ of the space } (E, l) \text{ and}$

$$\frac{1}{r^k} l(A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}, B \cap S_l(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0\} \quad (4)$$

If  $(A, B) \in T_l(a, b, k, p)$ , then we say that the set  $A \in E_0$  is  $(a, b)$ -tangent of order  $k > 0$  to the set  $B \in E_0$  at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$ .

The set  $T_l(a, b, k, p)$  defined by (4) we will call the  $(a, b)$ -tangency relation of order  $k$  of sets at the point  $p$  in the generalized metric space  $(E, l)$ .

We say that the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, k, p)$  is the equivalence in the set  $E$ , if is reflexive, symmetric and transitive relation in this set.

Let  $\rho$  be a metric of the set  $E$  and let  $A, B$  be arbitrary sets of the family  $E_0$ . Let us denote

$$\rho(A, B) = \inf\{\rho(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\}, \quad d_\rho A = \sup\{\rho(x, y) : x, y \in A\} \quad (5)$$

By  $\mathfrak{F}_\rho$  we shall denote the class of all functions  $l$  fulfilling the conditions:

$$1^0 \quad l : E_0 \times E_0 \longrightarrow [0, \infty),$$

$$2^0 \quad \rho(A, B) \leq l(A, B) \leq d_\rho(A \cup B) \quad \text{for } A, B \in E_0.$$

From (1) and from the condition  $2^0$  we get the equality:

$$l(\{x\}, \{y\}) = l_0(x, y) = \rho(x, y) \quad \text{for } l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho \text{ and } x, y \in E \quad (6)$$

From the above equality it follows that every function  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$  generates on the set  $E$  the metric  $\rho$ .

In this paper the problem of the equivalence of the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, k, p)$  of the rectifiable arcs in the spaces  $(E, l)$ , for the functions  $l$  belonging to the class  $\mathfrak{F}_\rho$  is considered.

## 1. The equivalence of the tangency relation of the rectifiable arcs

Let  $\rho$  be a metric of the set  $E$ , and let  $A$  be any set of the family  $E_0$ . By  $A'$  we shall denote the set of all cluster points of the set  $A$ .

By  $\tilde{A}_p$  we will denote the class of sets of the form (see [5, 6]):

$\tilde{A}_p = \{A \in E_0: A \text{ is rectifiable arc with the origin at the point } p \in E \text{ and}$

$$\lim_{A \ni x \rightarrow p} \frac{\ell(\tilde{p}x)}{\rho(p, x)} = g < \infty\} \quad (7)$$

where  $\ell(\tilde{p}x)$  denotes the length of the arc  $\tilde{p}x$  with the ends  $p$  and  $x$ .

From the considerations of the paper [4] and from Lemma 2.1 of the paper [7] follows the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** *If the function  $a$  fulfils the condition*

$$\frac{a(r)}{r} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad (8)$$

then for an arbitrary arc  $A \in \tilde{A}_p$

$$\frac{1}{r} d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad (9)$$

We say that the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, k, p)$  is reflexive in the set  $E$ , if

$$(A, A) \in T_l(a, b, k, p) \quad \text{for } A \in E_0 \quad (10)$$

Using Corollary 1 we shall prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ , functions  $a, b$  fulfil the condition*

$$\frac{a(r)}{r} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b(r)}{r} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad (11)$$

then the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  is reflexive in the class  $\tilde{A}_p$  of the rectifiable arcs.

*Proof.* From the inequality

$$d_\rho(A \cup B) \leq d_\rho A + d_\rho B + \rho(A, B) \quad \text{for } A, B \in E_0 \quad (12)$$

and from the fact that

$$\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) = 0 \quad \text{for } A \in E_0 \quad (13)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq l(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\ &\leq d_\rho((A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \cup (A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)} + d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\
&\quad + \rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\
&= d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) + d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)})
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

From the assumption (8) and from Corollary 1 it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r}d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \tag{15}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{r}d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \tag{16}$$

From (15), (16) and from the inequality (14) we get

$$\frac{1}{r}l(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \tag{17}$$

Hence and from the fact that the pair of arcs  $(A, A)$  is  $(a, b)$ -clustered at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$  it follows that  $(A, A) \in T_l(a, b, 1, p)$ , what means that the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  is reflexive in the class  $\tilde{A}_p$ .

We call the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, k, p)$  symmetric in the set  $E$ , iff

$$(A, B) \in T_l(a, b, k, p) \Rightarrow (B, A) \in T_l(a, b, k, p) \quad \text{for } A, B \in E_0. \tag{18}$$

**Theorem 2.** *If functions  $a, b$  fulfil the condition (11) and  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ , then for arbitrary arcs of the class  $\tilde{A}_p$  the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  is symmetric.*

*Proof.* We assume that  $(A, B) \in T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  for  $A, B \in \tilde{A}_p$  and  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ . From here and from the Theorem 2 of the paper [4] on the compatibility of the tangency relation of arcs it follows that  $(A, B) \in T_l(b, a, 1, p)$ .

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{r}l(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}, B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \tag{19}$$

From the inequality (12) and from the assumption that  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq l(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\
&\leq d_\rho((B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \cup (A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)})) \\
&\leq d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) + d_\rho(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}, B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \\
& \leq d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) + d_\rho(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \\
& \quad + l(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}, B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (19) and from Corollary 1 of this paper it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r}l(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad (20)$$

Hence and from the fact that the pair of arcs  $(B, A)$  is  $(a, b)$ -clustered at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$  it follows that  $(B, A) \in T_l(a, b, 1, p)$ . This means that the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  is symmetric in the class of arcs  $\tilde{A}_p$ .

We say that the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, k, p)$  is transitive in the set  $E$ , if for  $A, B, C \in E_0$

$$[(A, B) \in T_l(a, b, k, p) \wedge (B, C) \in T_l(a, b, k, p)] \Rightarrow (A, C) \in T_l(a, b, k, p).$$

**Theorem 3.** *If functions  $a, b$  fulfil the condition (11) and  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ , then for arbitrary arcs of the class  $\tilde{A}_p$  the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  is transitive relation.*

*Proof.* We assume that  $(A, B) \in T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  and  $(B, C) \in T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  for arbitrary arcs  $A, B, C \in \tilde{A}_p$  and the function  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ .

From here it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r}l(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad (21)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{r}l(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad (22)$$

From (22) and from the Theorem 2 of the paper [4] on the compatibility of the tangency relation of arcs it results

$$\frac{1}{r}l(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}, C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad (23)$$

From the conditions (12), (13) and from the fact that  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq l(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\
& \leq d_\rho((A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \cup (C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq d_\rho(((A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \cup (B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)})) \\
&\quad \cup ((B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \cup (C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}))) \\
&\leq d_\rho((A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) \cup (B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)})) \\
&\quad + d_\rho((B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \cup (C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)})) \\
&\leq d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) + d_\rho(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\
&\quad + \rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\
&\quad + d_\rho(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) + d_\rho(C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\
&\quad + \rho(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}, C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\
&\leq d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) + 2d_\rho(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) + d_\rho(C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \\
&\quad + l(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) + l(B \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}, C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)})
\end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, from the assumptions of this theorem, from Corollary 1 of this paper and from the conditions (21) and (23) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r}l(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}, C \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad (24)$$

Because the pair  $(A, C)$  of arcs of the class  $\tilde{A}_p$  is  $(a, b)$ -clustered at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$ , then from here and from the condition (24) it follows that  $(A, C) \in T_l(a, b, 1, p)$ , what means that the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  is transitive relation for arbitrary arcs belonging to the class  $\tilde{A}_p$  and the function funkcji  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ .

From the Theorems 1-3 of this paper we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.** *If  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_\rho$  and the functions  $a, b$  fulfil the condition (11), then the tangency relation  $T_l(a, b, 1, p)$  is the equivalence in the class  $\tilde{A}_p$  of rectifiable arcs.*

If

$$\lim_{A \ni x \rightarrow p} \frac{\ell(\tilde{px})}{\rho(p, x)} = 1 \quad (25)$$

then we say that the rectifiable arc  $A \in E_0$  with the origin at the point  $p \in E$  has the Archimedean property at the point  $p$  of the metric space  $(E, \rho)$ .

The class of all arcs having the Archimedean property at the point  $p \in E$  we denote by  $A_p$ . Obvious is following inclusion:  $A_p \subset \tilde{A}_p$ .

From here it follows that all results presented in this paper are true for the rectifiable arcs of the class  $A_p$ .

## References

- [1] Waliszewski W., On the tangency of sets in generalized metric spaces, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 1973, 28, 275-284.
- [2] Konik T., On some tangency relation of sets, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 1999, 55/3-4, 411-419.
- [3] Konik T., On some property of the tangency relation of sets, *Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications* 2007, 12(1), 76-84.
- [4] Konik T., On the compatibility of the tangency relations of rectifiable arcs, *Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science of Czestochowa University of Technology* 2007, 1(6), 103-108.
- [5] Waliszewski W., On the tangency of sets in a metric space, *Colloq. Math.* 1966, 15, 127-131.
- [6] Gołąb S., Moszner Z., Sur le contact des courbes dans les espaces metriques généraux, *Colloq. Math.* 1963, 10, 105-311.
- [7] Konik T., On the sets of the classes  $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$ , *Demonstratio Math.* 2000, 33(2), 407-417.
- [8] Pascali E., Tangency and ortogonality in metric spaces, *Demonstratio Math.* 2005, 38(2), 437-449.